

[Handwritten scribble]

[Redacted]

2 September 2013

Our Ref: [Redacted]

Web Archiving Section
National Library of Australia
Parkes Place
Canberra ACT 2600

By Email:

[Redacted]
[Redacted]

Attention: [Redacted] Web Archiving

Dear Sirs

[Redacted]
[Redacted]

[Redacted] in your web archive PANDORA (Pandora archive), of a number of blog posts authored by Mr Shane Dowling and which appear on the website kangarocourtsofaustralia.com. [Redacted]

1. [Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

2. [Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

[Redacted]

(a) [Redacted]

(b) [Redacted]

[Redacted]

Liability for Defamation

[Redacted]

[Redacted] and, as the publisher of the PANDORA archive, the National Library of Australia is liable for their publication on the archive.

[Redacted]
[Redacted] [Redacted] [Redacted] [Redacted] [Redacted]

In those circumstances, [REDACTED]

PANDORA's policies

For instance, the Disclaimer provides as follows:

"Content that is known to breach the law will not be included and access will be removed in respect to content that is subsequently proven to be in contravention of the the [sic] law."

Moreover, it is difficult to see how these blog posts were deemed suitable for archiving by the National Library of Australia in the first place. To our understanding, the PANDORA archive is intended to be a selective archive where material is generally subjected to rigorous selection guidelines before being approved for archiving, with the ultimate aim of archiving material of national significance with long-term research value. It is unclear how these blog posts, and indeed the Kangaroo Court of Australia website as a whole, meet the selection guidelines or the overall purpose of the PANDORA archive.

Action required

Yours faithfully